



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**CRWP-4256-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2025**

POOJA AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
(through video conferencing mode)

Mr. Narender Kumar Vashisht, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of an appropriate order or direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 & 12 and take action on their representation dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 24.04.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (Annexure P-5) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior



Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Narender Kumar Vashisht, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

29.04.2025

Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

1. <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
2. <i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>