



CRM-M-22502-2025

1

266 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22502-2025

Date of decision: 23.07.2025

AMRITPAL SINGH**....Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhimanyu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner-Amritpal Singh has filed this petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.07, dated 04.11.2022, under Sections 406 and 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Women District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 23.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. As per facts of the case, Sandeep Kaur complainant/respondent No.2 filed written complaint against her husband Amritpal Singh and members of in-laws family. Her marriage was performed with Amritpal Singh on 09.10.2013, as per sikh rites. Her parents had spent Rs.8/10 lacs on her marriage. After marriage, she was harassed and taunted in the matrimonial home for bringing less dowry. She tried to ignore their behaviour. On 21.07.2024, she gave birth to a son. Her parents had spent Rs.1 lac to bear the expenditure of hospital and other



articles. Since, there was no male member in her family, her husband took undue advantage and withdrew Rs.3 lacs of her limit on land. They continued to misbehave with her. The matter also came before Panchayat. Finally, the matter was reported to the police.

3. Petitioner-Amritpal Singh filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 29.04.2025, petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sangrur, dated 01.07.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected voluntarily, without any undue influence or coercion and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioner-Amritpal Singh also confirmed this fact in his statement. Statement of ASI Gurdev Kaur is also recorded who confirmed that petitioner is not involved or declared as proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.

Status report filed by learned State counsel through Registry is taken on record.

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sangrur, it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties without any undue influence or coercion. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They have decided to part ways by filing joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Thereafter, they will be able to live independently in peace and harmony. It will end the



litigation started between the parties.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in 2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr., where it was explained that ‘there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and FIR No.07, dated 04.11.2022, under Sections 406 and 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Women District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua petitioner.

8. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

23.07.2025
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No