



CWP-11786-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CWP-11786-2024
Date of Decision: 02.07.2025

Surender Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Ms. Deepali Verma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4
Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate for respondent No.5

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 4, at the outset, submits that the petitioner, on attaining the age of superannuation, has retired, thus, instant petition has rendered infructuous.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.
3. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.07.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No