



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**CRM-W-560-2025 in/and
CRWP-4223-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2025**

POONAM AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. M.N. Jajoria, Advocate for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Garg, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh for
respondents 1 to 3.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-560-2025

The application is allowed as prayed for.

Main Case

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of an appropriate order or direction to respondents No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and take action on their representation dated 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 23.04.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be



satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Gaurav Garg, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with direction to the petitioners to appear before respondent no.2 on **05.05.2025** at **11:00** AM sharp and respondent no.2 is further directed to get recorded statements of the petitioners to assess the threat perception and dispose of the representation (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

29.04.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |