



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1290

CWP-13402-2010 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.05.2025

Mangat Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to retire on attaining the age of 60 years instead of 58 years, which he was to complete on 31.07.2010, might not due to efflux of time, even be surviving especially in wake of the fact that there being no interim order in his favour.

2. Learned State counsel on the other hand refers to para 2 of the preliminary submissions of the written statement dated 03.02.2010 filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4, to which there was no counter filed, wherein it has been stated that the age of superannuation for employees of Class-III to Class-I employees was 58 years and it was only with regard to Class-IV that it was extended to 60 years.

3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, by demonstrating that the *lis* still survives.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

23.05.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No