



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

130

CWP-11773-2025

Date of Decision: 29.04.2025

HANS RAJ

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Abhishek Pundir, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the impugned order dated 28.05.2018 passed by respondent No.4-General Manager, Haryana Roadways Nuh (Mewat), Haryana whereby appointment letter No. 1959-EA/RK dated 16.04.2018 issued to the petitioner was withdrawn and the petitioner was wrongly declared ineligible for the post of Driver.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner had been shortlisted for appointment to the post of Driver by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission, however, his candidature had been rejected by the respondents vide order dated 28.05.2018 on the ground that the Driving License issued by the RTA, Nuh was invalid. He contends that the office of RTA, Nuh has verified that the license was validly

**CWP-11773-2025**

-2-

renewed by them for the period from 15.05.2012 to 14.05.2015 and notwithstanding the said verification, the offer of appointment issued to the petitioner has been wrongly withdrawn.

3. I have heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present writ petition.

4. While the peculiar facts stated above is not in dispute, however, the impugned order passed by the respondent-authorities states that verification process of the said Driving License was undertaken and the office of RTA, Nuh had been informed vide office letter dated 10.05.2018 that the Driving License number Old No. 6092/MTR/07 belongs to ARTO Mathura and had only been renewed by them for the period from 15.05.2012 to 14.05.2015. It was on receipt of the said communication that a verification of the issuance of the original license was undertaken from the office of the ARTO, Mathura. An intimation was received that the said license number as mentioned in the renewal application submitted by the petitioner belonged to one Mahesh Chand son of Prahlad and was valid upto 03.05.2027, hence, there was no occasion for seeking renewal of the said license from RTA, Nuh for the period from 15.05.2012 to 14.05.2015. It was specifically informed by the Office of ARTO, Mathura that the said Driving License had not been issued in the name of Hans Raj son of Om Parkash i.e. the petitioner herein. Thereafter, the petitioner also produced one Driving License which was issued from Manipur which however did not satisfy the prescribed period i.e. of three years.

**CWP-11773-2025**

-3-

5. Even though much emphasis has been laid by the petitioner on the verification of the Driving License by the Office of the RTA, Nuh, however, the verification process clearly shows that it was not a case of issuance of the Driving License by the RTA, Nuh, rather, the same was only a renewal of the license and as per the report received from the ARTO, Mathura, the original license was never issued in favour of Hans Raj and was rather issued in favour of Mahesh Chand son of Prahlad. A bogus documentation had been submitted by the petitioner for seeking renewal of the license. Moreover, the impugned order was passed in the year 2018 and there has been a delay of nearly 07 years in approaching this Court without giving any satisfactory reason.

6. At this stage, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition.

7. Disposed of as withdrawn.

APRIL 29, 2025*Vishal Sharma***(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No