



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

233

CRM-M-22316-2025
Date of decision: 19.05.2025

RANJIT KAUR
Versus
....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Arjunveer Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s))	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Ranjit Kaur	330	29.08.2021	199, 200, 465, 467, 471, 420 and 511 of IPC	Division No.5	Police Commissi onerate, Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner is that while furnishing surety bonds *qua* accused namely Arun Kumar, petitioner stood surety, projecting her to be the owner of the land, whereas, factually she was not. Co-accused namely Jagdish Singh had identified the petitioner, who has already been released on bail by the Court of Sessions, vide order dated 13.03.2025 (Annexure P-2). He further contends that after completion of investigation, challan has already been submitted but the process of



recording of the statements is yet to start, therefore, culmination of trial would likely to take considerable time. All the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, while appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, has vehemently opposed the prayer of grant of bail to the petitioner, keeping in view the nature of allegations and the gravity of offence involved herein. He further submits that if the petitioner is granted concession of bail, there is every likelihood of their being absconding from the trial and can indulge in similar kind of activities.

4. As per the totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the fact that challan has already been submitted but the process of recording of the statements is yet to start, thus culmination of trial will take considerable time, therefore, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioners.

5. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

6.. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

19.05.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No