



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
210

CRM-M-22972-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.05.2025

Ravi Kumar Aswal
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Nikhil Kumar Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.121 dated 18.02.2025 under Sections 316(4) & 61(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonipat.

2. On 01.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

“XX XX XX XX
Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in FIR (supra) solely on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused and he has no direct or indirect involvement in the present case. The petitioner has purchased the dry fruits from co-accused Sabir and transferred Rs.37,000/- through Phone Pay and Rs.19,000/- were paid in cash to him. As such, complicity of the petitioner is not proved at all. Further, the maximum sentence provided for the offences, under which the FIR



(supra) is registered, is punishable upto 07 years and no notice under Section 35(3) of BNSS [erstwhile Section 41-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.')] has been served upon the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 27.05.2025.

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833**, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.).*

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law."



3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Virender, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.
4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 01.05.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973*).
5. The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

27.05.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No