

2025:PHHC:056851



154

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11502-2025

Date of Decision: 01.05.2025

Deepak Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Baltej Singh Sidhu, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Chandan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Satyaveer Singh, Advocate,
Ms. Divyanshi Rathore, Advocate and
Mr. Abhyudaya Paliwal, Advocate
for respondents No.8 to 16.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondent No.6 (Gram Sachiv, Gawalra, Tehsil Israna, District Panipat) to not convene meetings with respect to 'no-confidence' motion against the petitioner, as respondent No.6 has no authority/jurisdiction to convene any such meetings, in terms of Section 10 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 (in short 'the 1994 Act').

1.1 A further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to consider and decide complaints dated 15.04.2025 (Annexure P-7 and P-8), filed by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

2. At this stage, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, Assistant Advocate

General, Haryana and Mr. Satyaveer Singh, Advocate appear on behalf of the respondent-State and respondents No.8 to 16, respectively, in pursuance of the advance copies of paper book having already been supplied to them.

2.1 Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Israna, District Panipat, as well as learned counsel for respondents No.8 to 16 submit that in fact, there is no 'no-confidence' motion moved against the present petitioner and the statement to that effect in Annexures P-4, P-6 and P-9, is without any basis. It is further submitted that only a complaint has been received against the petitioner, which would be dealt with by the concerned authority, in accordance with law.

2.2 As regards the other prayer, made on behalf of the petitioner, for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to decide complaints dated 15.04.2025 (Annexures P-7 and P-8) in a time bound manner, is concerned; it has been submitted by learned State counsel that inquiry in the matter already stands marked to the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Israna.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondents No.8 to 16, no further orders are required to be passed in the instant writ petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

4. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

01.05.2025

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No