



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

- (1)

CRM-M-24880-2024

Vikas @ Boxer

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent
- (2)

CRM-M-36183-2025

Sumit @ Kalia Rahul

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent
- (3)

CRM-M-38561-2025

Bansi Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Date of decision : 19.08.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: None for the petitioner in CRM-M-24880-2024.

Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-36183-2025.

Mr. Anupal Singh Tanwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-38561-2025.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Chaudhary, Advocate (through video conferencing),
for the complainant.

**SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE** (Oral)

1. Petitioners Vikas @ Boxer, Sumit @ Kalia Rahul and Bansil Lal have filed these three separate petitions for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 327 dated 06.11.2023 under Sections 302, 120-B, 201, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Siwani, Bhiwani.

2. Petitioners Vikas @ Boxer and Sumit @ Kalia Rahul are stated to be in custody since 23.11.2023, whereas petitioner Bansil Lal is stated to be in custody since 18.01.2024. They are facing trial, where allegation against petitioners Vikas @ Boxer and Sumit @ Kalia Rahul is of bribing Rs. 25,000/- each to driver of the deceased, and also purchase of GPS device to track movement of the deceased, which led to commission of murder by fire arm injuries by their co-accused.

2.1 Reply filed by the State of Punjab reveals that petitioners Sumit @ Kalia Rahul and Bansil Lal have a large number of other offences registered against them, constituting their criminal antecedents. Learned counsel for the complainant, who is appearing virtually, informs that there are other offences registered against petitioner Vikas @ Boxer.

3. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that though charge sheet was filed as back as on 08.02.2024, but the trial is yet to commence, this Court is of the considered view that safe and secure atmosphere should be provided to principal prosecution witnesses to depose in the Court, before request of the petitioners for grant of bail is considered.

4. Accordingly, the petitions stand dismissed for the time being, with liberty to the petitioners to re-visit the Court, in case the trial gets further



delayed or after the main prosecution witnesses are examined, whichever is earlier.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

August 19, 2025
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No