

102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11589-2025
Date of decision: 03.11.2025

JOGINDER PAL

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.
(Through Video Conferencing)

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

CM-15852-CWP-2025


Prayer in this application is for placing on record Annexures P-6 to P-8.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.
Annexures P-6 to P-8 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CM-15853-CWP-2025

The present application has been filed for fixing the actual date of hearing in the writ petition, which was adjourned *sine die* for placing on record the application order vide which the leave as stated in the representation dated 19.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) has been submitted and sanctioned.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.
The writ petition is taken on Board today itself.

**CWP-11589-2025**


1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to restore the supply of ration depot of the petitioner situated at village Mannawala Khurd, Block Jandiala, Tehsil and District Amritsar, which has been suspended by respondent No.4 against the provisions of Punjab Targeted Public Distribution System (Licencing and Control) Order, 2016.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, who has joined the proceedings through video conferencing submitted that the petitioner had served a representation dated 19.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.4 but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the aforesaid representation dated 19.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) is considered and decided by respondent No.4 in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. She submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation dated 19.02.2025



(Annexure P-4) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

03.11.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No