



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22255-2025

Date of decision: 26.05.2025

DR. TENDERER SINGH MULTANI

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Vijay Kanwar Paul, Deputy Superintendent of Police, (PBI) NDPS/ Narcotic-cum-Sub Division Kartarpur, Jalandhar is present in Court and has filed supplementary reply dated 26.05.2025. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-2) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
54	31.03.2021	3(3), 4, 6(a), 18, 23 of Pre Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Regulations and Prevention of Misuse) Act, 1994 and Sections 420, 120-B, 201 IPC	Kartarpur, District Jalandhar (Rural)



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 07.05.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
4. During the course of hearing on 07.05.2025, following order had been passed: -

“ ASI Narinder, Investigating Officer of the case is present in Court.

2. Short reply dated 06.05.2025 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police (PBI) NDPS/Narcotic Cum SubDivision Kartarpur Jalandhar (Rural) is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has already joined investigation twice and inquiries have already been conducted by DSP, Kartarpur. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that even the challan has been presented against the co-accused and thereafter notice under Section 41(1) Cr.P.C. has been issued to the petitioner on 07.03.2025, although he had already joined the proceedings. He also pointed out that the petitioner had repeatedly intimated the Investigating Officer on his phone to join the investigation as and when being called but he was not allowed to join. He has referred to the complaint in this regard given by the petitioner to SSP, Jalandhar (Rural) and copy of the same has been placed on record marked as ‘A’.

4. This aspect pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner is not mentioned in the reply filed by the State.

5. Learned State counsel seeks time for filing the supplementary reply. Same be filed on the next date of hearing. 6.

The concerned DSP, who has filed the reply, along with Investigating Officer of the case is directed to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing along with the entire record of the proceedings carried out in the present FIR including the



inquiries so conducted by the DSP, Kartarpur, the report of DA Legal in the present FIR and also the action taken by SSP, Jalandhar (Rural) dated 06.05.2025 on the complaint filed by the petitioner against the Investigating Officer.

7. *In the meantime, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

8. *List on 26.05.2025.”*

5. Learned State counsel on instructions received from Mr. Vijay Kanwar Paul, Deputy Superintendent of Police, (PBI) NDPS/ Narcotic-cum-Sub Division Kartarpur, Jalandhar informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 07.05.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 07.05.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.
8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

26.05.2025
punet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |