



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22145-2025
Date of decision: 21.05.2025

VIKRAM SINGHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate and
Ms. Neelam Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS,
2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as
under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
331	29.12.2024	306, 316(4), 3(5) BNS and 66 of I.T. Act, 2000.	Civil Lines, Batala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance
to the order dated 28.04.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. During the course of hearing on 28.04.2025, following order
had been passed: -



“ 2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner having no concern whatsoever with the allegations leveled in the FIR and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents nor has any concern with the computer system of the firm of the complainant. The petitioner had been working as Freight agent only and has not done any pilferage of the data as has been alleged in the FIR nor has the petitioner ever visited the office of the complainant at Batala where the FIR has been registered. He as such seeks anticipatory bail.

3. Notice of motion

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S.Bhatta, DAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

5. Adjourned to 13.05.2025.

6. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS).”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Jatinder Pal informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 28.04.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 28.04.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the



petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.05.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No