

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-22767-2025

Date of Decision : 17.09.2025

ABHISHEK ALIAS BHARTI ALIAS MOOSA

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA


Present : Mr. Himanshu Setia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.0604 dated 03.12.2019, under Sections 307, 34 of IPC, 1860 and 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Section 120-B of IPC added lateron) registered at Police Station HTM Hisar, District Hisar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since January, 2025 i.e. for the last 08 months. Earlier his bail application has already been decided on merits and he was granted bail but due to non-appearance before the trial Court, his arrest warrant was issued. Learned ccounsel further submitted that the trial will take time to conclude. The petitioner has already suffered due to his non-appearance before the trial Court and he undertakes to abide by all the terms and conditions, if any, imposed by this Court or by the trial Court to appear regularly before the trial Court.



3. Status report by way of affidavit as well as custody certificate of the petitioner filed by learned State counsel is taken on record.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Kuldeep submitted that the petitioner has misused the concession of bail twice and the reason for his non-appearance is not genuine. It was further contended that if he is released on bail, he will delay the conclusion of trial.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the fact that the present petitioner was granted bail in this case on merits vide order dated 29.05.2020 and had been regularly appearing before the trial Court till 12.04.2024; coupled with the fact that the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars. Accordingly, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The trial Court shall be at liberty to impose such conditions as it may deem fit and necessary for securing the presence of the petitioner during the course of trial.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

17.09.2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No