

2025:PHHC:073378



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP-4144-2025 (O&M)

Decided on: 27.05.2025

Amit Kumar and Another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sunil Duggal, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

CRM-W-608-2025

The present application has been filed for placing on record Annexure P-6. (Copy of decree of divorce of earlier marriage of petitioner No.1). The same is allowed.

Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

CRWP-4144-2025

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents No. 4 to 6.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Amit Kumar	Deepali
Age (in years)	29 years	24 years
Date of marriage	23.04.2025	
Rite	Hindu Rites	
Representation on	24.04.2025	
Submitted to	Respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sonipat	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No. 4 to 6	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 24.04.2025(Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 –The Superintendent of Police Sonipat, Haryana wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent -State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 –The Superintendent of Police, Sonipat Haryana to look into the representation dated 24.04.2025(Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

27.05.2025
Poonam Sharma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/~~NO~~**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**