



125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 22240-2025
Date of Decision: 29.04.2025**

PARAM PAL SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present:- Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) has been preferred by the petitioner seeking direction to respondent No.2 and 3 by calling the status report regarding the investigation conducted on the complaint dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).
2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had filed a complaint before respondent No.2 but till date no action thereon has been taken. He submits that for the purpose of this petition, the petitioner will be satisfied, if, appropriate directions are accorded to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the complaint dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of Court, Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State and submits that the representation will be considered and disposed of in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

5. Heard.

6. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the averments and also the limited request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of complaint dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be also intimated to the petitioner.

7. Disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.04.2025

Gyan

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No