

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24556-2024
Date of decision: 04.04.2025

PARAMJIT SINGH @ PAMMA BHULLARPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as
under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
84	10.09.2023	307, 148, 149 IPC	‘C’ Division, District Amritsar

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance
to the order dated 18.03.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. During the course of hearing on 18.03.2025, following order
had been passed: -

“ Heard.

2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the
petitioner that no specific overt act is attributed to the



petitioner and even the matter has been compromised with the complainant vide Annexure P-2. He further contends that the co-accused have already been granted concession of bail on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) and the petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ashwani Kumar, states that on the basis of compromise, aforesaid quashing petition has already been filed, however, injury No.1 is attributed to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant states that the compromise (Annexure P-2) has been voluntarily effected between the parties and he has specific instructions from the complainant to submit that he has no objection in case the petitioner is granted concession of bail as the matter has been voluntarily compromised.

5. Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.

7. Adjourned to 04.04.2025.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from S.I. Ashwani Kumar informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 18.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 18.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to



conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

04.04.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |