


2025.PHHC:152354



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CRM-M-28206-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.11.2025

Vidya Mahesh**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**


Present:- Mr. Sumit S. Bairagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ved Parkash, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking directions to respondents No. 1 to 4 to take legal action against respondents No. 5 to 15 on the complaint dated 05.03.2024 submitted by the petitioner and hand over the inquiry of the same to some senior police officer not below the rank of Commissioner of Police.

2. At the very outset, learned State counsel has submitted at the instance of respondents No. 5 to 8, an FIR bearing No. 273 dated 01.09.2022, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323 and 506 of IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Ballabhgarh Sadar, District Faridabad was registered against the petitioner and others. Thereafter, at the instance of the petitioner, a cross bearing FIR No. 275 dated 01.09.2022 under Sections 148, 149, 323 and 506 of IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 was registered at the same police station against the private respondents. The investigation in



both the FIRs were conducted as per law and after completion of the investigation, challan has already been presented before the Court. The allegations levelled against the police officials have been denied by him and it is stated that the petitioner is trying to put pressure on the police officials. Hence, the dismissal of the petition is prayed for.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the factual assertions but has submitted that the police, in connivance with the accused persons of FIR No. 275, has not properly conducted investigation of the matter. Hence, the same is required to be re-investigated by a senior police officer.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the material placed on record, this Court is of the considered opinion that no case for ordering re-investigation of the case is made out. The petitioner has not placed anything on record so as to believe that the investigation of the case was conducted in a biased manner, which warrants interference of this Court. Investigation of the cases has already been completed and challan has been filed. Trial is stated to be going on. The petitioner, well within his rights, can lead his evidence before the learned trial Court in order to prove guilt of the accused persons as well as to defend himself and other members of his party. In view thereof, without making any comment on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of. The petitioner is granted liberty to take all his pleas before the learned trial Court.

04.11.2025
Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No