



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(266)

CRM-A-734-2025(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 08.12.2025

Baljeet

.....Applicant

VERSUS

Ajay Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Ms. Sangeeta Yadav, Advocate,
for Mr.Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the applicant.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 419(4) of the BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of special leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 19.03.2025 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rewari, in a complaint case filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, after considerable discussion and comparative interpretation of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions. Reliance in this regard can also be placed on *Satish Kumar Versus Jugal Kishor* in *CRM-A-2700-MA-2018* decided on **02.07.2025**. Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Directorate of Revenue Intelligence Vs. Raj Kumar Arora* in **2025 INSC 498; 2025**



SCC Online 819 has clarified that as a rule of thumb, judgments rendered shall be applicable retrospectively.

3. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application seeking special leave to appeal is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge concerned with a direction to treat the same as filed under Section 413 of the BNSS, 2023 and to dispose of by himself/herself or entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.

4. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge concerned forthwith.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

08.12.2025
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No