



**282 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21925-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.07.2025

NEERAJ KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Dr. Amardeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. P.K. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Randeep Dhakla, Advocate
for the respondents No. 2, 3 and 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 praying for quashing of FIR No. 0045 dated 05.02.2020 under Sections 147, 149, 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The following order was passed on 28.04.2025:

“The petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Ashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-State of Haryana and accepts notice.

Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate has filed vakalatnama for respondents No. 2 to 4. The same be taken on record.

The parties are directed to get their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise in the following manner:

(i) The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned on 05.05.2025 or any date thereafter as fixed by trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording



statements of the petitioner as well as of the complainant qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such appearance is made, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall do the needful for recording the statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise. It shall be open to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to either record the statements of the parties by physical process or by video conferencing as deemed appropriate by the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate.

(ii) In case the statement is to be recorded by way of video conferencing, the parties concerned shall be duly identified through video conferencing by their respective counsel, subject to the satisfaction of the Presiding Officer.

(iii) The trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate may also choose to get the statements of the parties recorded through some Commissioner, appointed by the Court who would be some Advocate having sufficient standing at the Bar. In case the statement is recorded through some Commissioner, such Commissioner/Advocate shall furnish an affidavit after recording statements to the effect that the parties had appeared before him/her and he/she had recorded their statements as per law and that the said parties had been duly identified by their respective counsel. This shall be subject to satisfaction of trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate.

After recording the statements of all the affected parties in either of the aforesaid manner, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded as to whether all the affected parties have entered into a compromise and as to whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion.

The trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall also report as regards the following facts after seeking information from Investigating Officer, concerned:

- (i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioner, arrayed in this petition?*
- (ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition?*
- (iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?*



CRM-M-21925-2025 (O&M)

-2-

The report be submitted before this Court before the next date of hearing i.e. 23.05.2025.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 0045 dated 05.02.2020 under Sections 147, 149, 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

03.07.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No