



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(139)

CWP No. 11442 of 2025

Date of Decision : 28.04.2025

Jitender Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar Bartia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as the ancestral land of the petitioners was acquired by the Government, one of the member of the family was to be granted the Government job but the said benefit has not been granted. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 05.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) but same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5 and submits that in case, the legal notice



dated 05.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. As certain allegations have been made against respondent No. 6, the respondents are directed that in case any decision is to be taken which affects respondent No. 6, respondent No. 6 be also given due opportunity of hearing before passing of the order on the legal notice dated 05.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner.

April 28, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No