



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11525-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-**14.05.2025**

VISHNU DUTT SHARMA AND ANR

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

-. -

Present:- Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana alongwith
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.5.

-. -

SUDHIR SINGH J.(Oral)

The petitioners seek issuance of writ in the nature of Certiorari,
quashing order dated 14.05.2014 (Annexure P-4).



2. A perusal of order dated 14.05.2014 passed by the Screening Committee reveals that the petitioners were allotted a plot measuring 250 square yards. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were entitled to a plot measuring 350 square yards, as per their land holding. It is further argued that no compensation in lieu of the acquisition of land of the petitioners has been paid to them.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they may be granted liberty to file a representation in respect of compensation before the respondent authorities and direction may be issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation within a stipulated period.

4. Served with an advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State; whereas Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.5 and has filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. The learned counsel for the respondents submit that if any representation will be made by the petitioners, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority, in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with permission to the petitioners to move a representation before respondent No.2-Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority within ten days. In case, any such representation is made by the petitioners, respondent No.2-Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority is directed to consider and decide such representation by passing a reasoned order in



accordance with law, preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of filing such representation.

6. It goes without saying that the petitioners will cooperate fully in the proceedings.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

14.05.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No