



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

[224]

LPA-537-2022

Date of Decision: 08.12.2025

VIJAY KUMAR

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Naren Pratap Singh, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Senior Panel Counsel for respondent
No.1-Union of India.
Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the parties submit that all the issues raised either at the hands of the appellant to seek eligibility or at the hands of the respondents to deny the eligibility for having retail outlet of Bharat Petroleum Corporation, have not been considered by the learned Single Judge while passing the impugned order dated 05.05.2022, hence, they have no objection in case the order dated 05.05.2022 is set aside and remand the case back to the learned Single Judge for fresh adjudication by taking into the consideration the arguments being raised at the hands of the appellant qua eligibility as well as arguments raised at the hands of the respondents qua ineligibility of the appellant to seek the relief for selection of RO Dealership, as per brochure dated 24.11.2018 (Annexure P-2 in CWP-10321-2020).
2. Ordered accordingly. The order dated 05.05.2022 passed by the learned Single Judge is set aside on the mutual agreement between the parties and the case is remanded back to the learned Single Judge.
3. The present appeal stands disposed of.
4. Let the parties appear before the learned Single Judge on 12.01.2026.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

08.12.2025

ANJAL

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No