



132 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11592 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.07.2025

RAHUL JAIN

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

* * * * *

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking direction to respondent No.1 to refund the amount paid by the petitioner towards External Development Charges (hereinafter referred to 'EDC') .

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the EDC had been paid by the petitioner to respondent No.1, although, as per the terms of the Plot Buyer's Agreement (Annexure P-1), EDC had to be paid by respondent No.4.

3. Heard.

4. The petitioner is seeking refund of the amount paid towards EDC to respondent No.1. The petitioner has relied upon the terms of the Plot Buyer's Agreement (Annexure P-1) which had been entered into between the petitioner and respondent No.4, however, the respondent No.1 is not party to this agreement. The petitioner has paid EDC to respondent No.1. Even if the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted



that these charges were liable to be paid by respondent No.4, it would be open to the petitioner to recover the same from it in accordance with law. Consequently, we do not find any merit in this petition, which stands dismissed.

5. The petitioner would be at liberty to seek recourse to the alternative remedy in accordance with law to recover the amount paid from respondent No.4.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

23.07.2025
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No