

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.1958 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 30, 2017**

Rakesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Union Territory, Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, APP for UT, Chandigarh.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, none had appeared for the petitioner and learned counsel for the petitioner was notified to address arguments. Despite being notified, none has appeared for the petitioner today.

Consequently, the present revision petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

November 30, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No