



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-4169-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 29, 2025

Sukhwant Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)


The present writ petition is under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the hands of respondents No.5 to 8.

2. Learned State counsel upon advance copy, contends that the action has been taken against the respondents in the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he would be satisfied if the present writ petition be treated as representation and respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the same.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.



6. Without going into the merits of the case as well as without calling for any reply, the present writ petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala to treat this writ petition as representation and decide the grievance raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition within two weeks from today by passing a speaking order thereon.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

April 29, 2025
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Speaking
Whether reportable:	Yes / No