



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4106-2025
DATE OF DECISION: 25.04.2025**

MAMTA SHARMA AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners endangered at the hands of private respondent no.4 who is against the live-in relationship of the petitioners.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to decide the representation dated 20.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) within a time bound manner.

3. Learned State Counsel assures the Court that the representation will be decided by passing a speaking order within a period of three days from today in the light of notification No.HOME-HM-40 40SECM/14/2025-2H4/63 dated 23.01.2025 issued by the Department of Home Affairs, Punjab, Government of Punjab (Home-4 Branch), after providing opportunity of hearing to the parties.

4. In light of the above, counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the instant petition.

5. Hence, the instant petition is dismissed as not pressed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

25.04.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>