

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-4184-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 29, 2025

Reena Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr.Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioners.


Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rana Ghuman, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 9

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India, is filed for issuance of direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners as they are in live-in-relationship and also directing respondent No.4 to 9 not to interfere in their personal lives and liberty nor to harass them.

2. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vijay Kumar, has shown this Court the affidavits filed by petitioners No.1 and 2 wherein they have stated that as on date there is no threat perception to them from anybody nor there is any requirement of police protection.



- 3. In view of the above and the affidavits given by the petitioners, since the petitioners are not apprehending any threat, therefore, the present writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
- 4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

April 29, 2025
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Speaking
Whether reportable:	Yes / No