



208(2)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22229-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.07.2025

Asjad Ahmed @ Sameer

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Vivek Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS'), for grant of
bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.53 dated 23.01.2025,
under Sections 274, 275, 318(4), 336(3), 340(2), 61 of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS'); Section 61 of the Punjab
Excise Act, 1914 (Haryana Amendment Bill, 2020) and Sections 51,
52-A, 63 of the Copyright Act, registered at Police Station Samalkha,
District Panipat.

2. Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate of
petitioner dated 10.07.2025, which is taken on record. Registry to tag
the same at appropriate place.



3. Allegations are that petitioner allegedly prepared fake labels of the liquor brands and provided the same to the co-accused for pasting the same on the spurious liquor bottles.

4. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2025; after investigation, final report under Section 173 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') was presented on 19.03.2025, but charges are yet to be considered; thus, trial is likely to take sufficient long time. Lastly contends that no other criminal case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel after obtaining instructions from the quarter concerned is not able to dispute the above factual position.

6. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

7. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2025; after investigation, final report under Section 173 of the Cr.P.C. was presented on 19.03.2025, but charges are yet to be considered, thus, trial is likely to take sufficient long time; hence further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).



10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

11.07.2025
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No