



CRM-M-22369-2025(O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109+222

CRM-M-22369-2025(O&M)
Decided on :17.09.2025

Paramjit Singh @ Pamma

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**CRM-37481-2025**

- i) Present application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, for placing on record documents as Annexuer P-7 to P-16.
- ii) For the reasons enumerated in the application, same is allowed and the documents as taken on record as Annexure P-7 to P-16. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paperbook.

CRM-37482-2025

- i) Present application has been filed seeking exemption from filing certified copies of Annexures P-7 to P-16.
- ii) Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-22369-2025

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.61 dated 03.04.2022, under Section 302, 323, 506, 148, 149 and 120-B IPC (Section 302 IPC was deleted and



offence under Section 304 IPC was added later on), registered at Police Station Tibba, District Ludhiana.

2. From the allegations in the FIR, it is apparent that the man who was beaten and killed on the spot was in a helpless condition, having been brutally attacked by a number of individuals. FIR, based on an eyewitness account, alleges that the accused, Sumit Arora, with the intention to kill, struck the deceased on the head with a wooden stick. In the meantime, Paramjit Singh @ Pamma (**petitioner herein**), Ranjit Bajaj grabbed the deceased by the arm and forcefully hit him on the pavement and threw him down and everyone started hitting him with the kick blows while laying on the ground. Resultantly Mangat Ram (deceased) fainted and died on the spot.

Injuries noticed during post mortem examination are as under:

Examination of External Injuries			
Sr. No.	Injuries	Marked	Injury Number
1.	A reddish Abrasion of Size MS 2 cm x 1 cm on back of left elbow	Yes	2
2.	A Reddish contusion MS 2 cm x 1 cm on inner aspect of right cheek and outer aspect of the right nostril	Yes	3
3.	Diffuse Contusion over left parieto occipital region. ON dissection extra cranial Hemorrhage present with fresh oozing of blood is noticed through saggital suture posteriorly. On opening the skull extra dural and sub dural Hemorrhage present over left pariental and left occipital areas of brain. Intra cerebral Hemorrhage present at places, CSF Blood stained. ON	Yes	1



	removal of brain clotted blood present.		
--	---	--	--

Learned counsel submits that whether the injuries are corroborated by the oral testimony of the eyewitness is a matter to be decided by the learned Trial Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the deposition of Pinki, daughter of the deceased Mangat Ram, who appeared as PW2, and submits that the instant case was registered against the petitioner due to the intervention of certain politicians. For this purpose, the counsel relies on the relevant portion of her deposition, which reads as follows:

“It is correct that Navjot Singh Sidhu, a renowned politician, and Sanjay Talwar, the area MLA, came to Ludhiana after the occurrence and supported us in lodging the FIR and securing the arrest of the accused.”

Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate, further contends that because of intervention of politicians, petitioner has been implicated in the present case.

4. Whereas, this Court draws another impression on a prima facie basis is that the deceased and complainant were in a helpless situation, and that the criminal case was registered due to the intervention of certain individuals. However, whether the present petitioner was involved or not cannot be determined at this stage of the proceedings.

5. This Court finds no reason to grant bail to the petitioner, as the eyewitness account has been duly corroborated. In the light of the

**CRM-M-22369-2025(O&M)****4**

facts and circumstances stated above, I do not find any merit in the present petition. Accordingly, petition is hereby dismissed.

However, prosecution and learned trial Court are directed to expedite the trial proceedings in accordance with law and conclude the same preferably within a period of eight months from today.

Let copy of this order be forwarded to trial Court concerned for information and necessary compliance.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

17.09.2025*Rashmi**Whether speaking/reasoned:* *Yes/No**Whether Reportable:* *Yes/No*