

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

259-1

CWP-11179-2025
Date of Decision: 25.09.2025

ROHIT AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

And

Sr. No.	Case No.	Petitioner(s)	Respondent(s)
2.	CWP-11180-2025	Anjali Kajal and Ors.	State of Haryana and Ors.
3.	CWP-11181-2025	Manisha	State of Haryana and Ors.
4.	CWP-11186-2025	Yogesh	State of Haryana and Ors.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Written statement of Dr. Arpit Jain, IPS, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Headquarters, Gurugram filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6 is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instant petitions may be disposed of in terms of judgment dated 07.08.2025 passed in CWP-8870-2025 titled as “*Ravi Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and Ors.*’.

3. On being confronted with judgments dated 07.08.2025 passed in *Ravi Kumar (supra)* and dated 21.01.2025 passed in a bunch of petitions including **CWP-35184-2019** titled as '*Ram Mehar and Anr. Vs. State of Punjab and Ors.*', learned State counsel submits that competent authority would re-consider claim of petitioners in terms of afore-cited judgments.

4. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petitions stand disposed of. The respondent shall reconsider claim of the petitioners in the light of afore-cited judgments and without being influenced by impugned orders. Let the needful be done within three months.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

September 25, 2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No