

2025:PHHC:070713



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-21871-2025

Date of decision: May 20, 2025

MAKKHAN SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Jagpal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

1. The instant petition is the third petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.190 dated 30.06.2024 under Sections 384, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sector-31, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case solely on the ground of being the husband of co-accused Anita Kaur. It is contended that no specific or overt act has been attributed to the petitioner, and the allegations levelled against him are vague and generalized. Learned counsel further argues that the FIR appears to be a counterblast to the complaint lodged by the wife of the petitioner against the complainant under Sections 376, 506, 509 and 34 of the IPC, which predates the present FIR. He also contends that there is no



financial transaction between the petitioner and the complainant, and hence, the allegations of financial impropriety are misconceived and baseless. Additionally, reliance is placed on an alleged compromise, annexed as Annexure P-5, purportedly executed between the parties with the intervention of the respectables of the society, indicating their intention to resolve the matter amicably.

3. *Per contra*, learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer and submissions made by the counsel opposite, has referred to the affidavit filed by the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Central, Faridabad. It is submitted that despite sustained efforts by the investigating agency, the petitioner has evaded arrest and continues to remain untraceable. The seriousness of the matter is further reflected by the issuance of non-bailable warrants against the petitioner by the learned trial Court, returnable on 27.08.2025. The conduct of the petitioner in absconding and failing to cooperate with the investigating agency, as per the learned State counsel, is a matter of grave concern.

4. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant has also opposed the prayer and submissions made by the counsel opposite. Learned counsel for the complainant has categorically denied the existence of any compromise between the parties. It is asserted that no settlement has been effected between the parties, as has been falsely submitted before this Court.



5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material placed on record.
6. It is not disputed that the present petition is the third one filed by the petitioner seeking the concession of anticipatory bail. When a specific query was put to the learned counsel about the change in circumstances following the dismissal of the earlier petitions, learned counsel for the petitioner primarily relied upon the purported compromise. However, the very existence of such compromise is seriously disputed by the counsel for the complainant, and its authenticity and voluntariness remains in doubt.
7. At this stage, considering the serious nature of the allegations contained in the FIR (Annexure P-1), the continued evasion of arrest by the petitioner despite issuance of non-bailable warrants, and the absence of any significant change in circumstances since the dismissal of the previous bail petitions, this Court does not deem it fit to extend the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
8. Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.
9. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

May 20, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*