



CRM-M-21977-2025

-1-

**263 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21977-2025

Date of Decision: 29.07.2025

Chandan Singh @ Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Rahi Mehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.241 dated 11.11.2024, under Sections 111 BNS, 2023 (Sections 109, 3(5) of BNS added lateron) and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that on 11.11.2024, the police party while on patrolling, received a secret information to the effect that Doni and Prabh Desuwal are professional gangsters and they are promoting communalism and target killing in Punjab, while sitting in abroad. Their two special shooters, namely, Khushpreet Singh and Harpreet Singh had also fired shots in village Gharyala, District Tarn Taran. It was further informed that both of them were in their white coloured Verna car and were roaming in that area. In case of raid, they could be arrested along with their weapons. On receiving the information, the raiding party was constituted and they reached at the place as disclosed. The persons as informed, were found present at the place disclosed in the car. The petitioner was also found sitting in the car and thus, he was also arrested on



11.11.2024. The petitioner approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar for grant of bail, however, after hearing both the sides, the same was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide order dated 04.04.2025. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Gurmanpreet Singh @ Gurman. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 22.07.2025 passed in **CRM-M-11934-2025**, whereby, co-accused Gurmanpreet Singh @ Gurman has been granted regular bail by this Court. He submits that the petitioner was not even named in the FIR, however, he was implicated in this case on the allegation that while conducting raid on the shooters, namely, Khushpreet Singh and Harpreet Singh as alleged in the FIR, the petitioner was also found sitting in the car. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 11.11.2024. He submits that though the petitioner is involved in other cases, however, he is on bail in those cases. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as the case of the petitioner is similar to that of the said co-accused, who has already been granted bail.

4. Short reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Inderjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Rajasansi, Amritsar (Rural) has been filed on behalf of respondent-State, in the Court today, same is taken on record. Learned State counsel has endorsed the factum of grant of bail to the co-accused of the petitioner as stated above and has not denied that the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Gurmanpreet Singh



@ Gurman. It is submitted that investigation is complete, challan has been presented, however, charges are yet to be framed. She has placed on record the custody certificate of the petitioner, which shows that the petitioner is involved in four other cases.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since 11.11.2024. Co-accused, namely, Gurmanpreet Singh @ Gurman is on bail and the case of the petitioner as stated is at par with him. Investigation is complete. The custody certificate shows that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 08 months & 14 days as on 28.07.2025. It further shows that the petitioner is involved in four other cases, however, he is on bail in these cases.

6. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.07.2025
sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No