



269 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22777-2025

Date of decision: 27.05.2025

NAVEEN KUMAR AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Monika Jangra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 & 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.446 dated 04.10.2017 under Sections 186/332/34/353/427 of IPC registered at Police Station Pataudi (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 30.04.2025 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.446 dated 04.10.2017 under Sections 186/332/34/353/427 of IPC registered at Police Station Pataudi (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

*Learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in **Vinod @ Boda and others vs. State of Haryana and others, 2017(1) RCR (Criminal) 571** and submits that there is no embargo in quashing*



of FIR (supra) registered under Sections 186 and 353 of IPC on the basis of compromise.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his power of attorney, which is taken on record. He admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

Adjourned to 27.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.446 dated 04.10.2017 under Sections



186/332/34/353/427 of IPC registered at Police Station Pataudi (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

May 27, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |