



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M-21351-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 10.07.2025**

Sardar Sahil @ Sahil Preet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. R.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.25 dated 15.03.2025, under Section 108 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS'), registered at Police Station Singh Bhagwantpur, District Rupnagar.

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 09.07.2025 of Rajpal Singh Gill, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar, District Rupnagar has been filed on behalf of the respondent. Copy thereof supplied to the opposite side. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.



3. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused namely Sadhna Rathore harassed and humiliated the deceased-Ajay Kumar to commit suicide.

4. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 24.04.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel on instructions from quarter concerned and submits that his custodial interrogation is not required at this stage.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

7. It transpires that while issuing notice of motion, petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court on 24.04.2025 and the order reads as under:-

*“Contends, inter alia, that from bare perusal of the FIR, ingredients of Section 108 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 are not made out against the petitioner.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Mr. T.P.S.Walia, learned AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file written response in the matter.*

*Posted for 10.07.2025.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.”*



8. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and as on today, his custodial interrogation is not required.

9. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 24.04.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

10. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

11. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

12. It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**10.07.2025**

*Ithlesh*

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No