



220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21171-2025

Date of Decision:16.05.2025

AJAYPAL ALIAS AJAY

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.45 dated 25.02.2023, registered under Sections 22(c), 61 & 85 of NDPS Act, Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktar Sahib.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner and his co-accused Ajaypal Singh @ Ajay were apprehended by the police, while they were carrying 30 tablets of Etezola containing Etizolam and 30 tablets of Clovis-MD containing salt Clonazepam and the said quantity falls within the ambit of commercial quantity. He further relied upon the order dated 16.01.2025, passed by this Court, whereby the concession of bail has been



granted to Ajaypal Singh @ Ajay, co-accused by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court. He further submits that the petitioner is also similarly placed and is in custody more than his co-accused namely Ajaypal Singh @ Ajay. Thus on parity, he is entitled to release on bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he admits that the petitioner is at par with his co-accused namely Ajaypal Singh @ Ajay as they were apprehended together by the police.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the case of the petitioner is at par with Ajaypal Singh @ Ajay, who has been granted the concession of bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court. Thus, the petitioner is also deserves to be enlarged on bail in the present case.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

16.05.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No