



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

263

CWP-11215-2024

Date of Decision : **January 15, 2025**

MUKESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. S.K.Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate,
Mr. Rahul Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for respondent No.6.
None for respondent No.8.
Mr. Ravi Kamal Gupta, Advocate for the CBI.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his/her grievance, yet he/she has sidetracked the said remedy and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition. Therefore, the instant petition is **dismissed**, however, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to re-access this Court on the same cause of action by filing apt motion under the relevant provisions of law.

January 15, 2025

ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No