



268

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21322-2025

Date of decision: 23.05.2025

Naresh Rani and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Chirag Suri, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.137 dated 30.11.2024 under Sections 406/420 of IPC (offence under Section 201 of IPC added during investigation) registered at Police Station Division No.7 District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-2).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.3, namely, Sanjeev Kumar alias Sanjeev Arora, is not relevant for the quashing of FIR (*supra*) as he is neither the informant nor the victim and he is attesting witness to the agreement to sell and the compromise, as such, he did not appear before the learned trial Court in terms of compromise.
3. On 24.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.137 dated 30.11.2024 under Sections 406/420 of IPC (Section 210 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Division No.7, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.04.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Manish Kansra, Advocate puts in appearance and accepts notice for respondent Nos.2 and 3 and undertakes to file his power of attorney on or before the next date of hearing. He admits to the



CRM-M-21322-2025

-2-

factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

Adjourned to 23.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

4. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.137 dated 30.11.2024 under Sections 406/420 of IPC (offence under Section 201 of IPC added during investigation) registered at Police Station Division No.7 District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

23.05.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No