



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24011-2024

Date of decision :11.02.2025

Manjit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Advocate with
Mr. Sarvesh Rattan, Advocate, Ms. Manvi Singla, Advocate
and Mr. Sahaj Punj, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed praying for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 vide impugned order dated 20.08.2021 in case FIR No.104 dated 13.11.2020 under Sections 302, 307, 452, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC (Section-120-B IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Jodhan, District Ludhiana Rural, on the ground that after getting the bail, respondent No.2 started extending threats to the petitioner.
2. Pursuant to order dated 21.01.2025, respondents No.2 and 3 have deposited the cost of Rs.5000/-, receipt of the same is placed on record.
3. Learned State counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the status report already filed, wherein the enquiry regarding the



complaint filed by the petitioner was marked to DSP, Dakha, who summoned the petitioner and the complainant to give evidence in support of allegations mentioned in the complaint but the petitioner did not appear before the Enquiry Officer for substantiating his allegations and the same was consigned.

4. This Court vide order dated 21.01.2025, had granted opportunity to the petitioner to appear before the Enquiry Officer as it was contended that the petitioner could not appear before the Enquiry Officer, due to some unavoidable circumstances. Though the petitioner was granted opportunity to appear before the Enquiry Officer, but he did not appear and only lame excuses are being made that the petitioner was not well and hencewith he could not appear.

5. However, from the enquiry conducted and the submissions made by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, it appears that threats as alleged being extended to the petitioner, are not substantiated. There is no gain saying that every bail granted is subject to the terms and conditions, however, once the bail is granted, it cannot be recalled in a routine manner, unless and until it is substantiated that the concession of bail has been misused.

6. This Court finds that there is no ground to accept the contention that the bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3, has been misused and thus, finding no merit, the present petition is dismissed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

11.02.2025

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No