



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13170-2022

Date of Decision:-22.05.2025

Sanjay

...Petitioner

Vs.

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate,
Mr. Viren Nehra, Advocate,
Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Advocate,
Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate and
Mr. Akash Gahlawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate for
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 24.02.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“The applicant-petitioner is seeking disposal of the instant petition in the light of order dated 23.01.2024 (Annexure A-1) passed by this Court in **Civil Writ Petition No.8869 of 2021** titled as ‘**Vinod Vs. State of Haryana and others**’.*

*Mr. Sunil K. Nehra submits that in view of judgment of this Court in **CWP-14725-2022** titled as ‘**Sanjeet Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others**’ upheld by Division Bench in **LPA No.1481 of 2023** titled as ‘**Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula, Haryana Vs. Sanjeet Kumar and others**’ the petitioner*

could not be denied benefit of Dependent of Ex-serviceman Category (DESM). This case is also covered by judgment dated 23.01.2024 (Annexure A-1) passed by his Court in Vinod (supra).

Learned State counsel seeks time to get instructions and clarify the position.

Adjourned to 06.05.2025.”

- 2. Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana submits that competent authority would consider claim of petitioner in the light of aforesaid orders of this Court.
- 3. Needful be done within a period of two months from today.
- 4. Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.05.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No