



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CWP No. 10361 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 07.03.2025

Jagjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Information Commissioner and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitin Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate with
Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Mayank Vashishth, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that certain information sought has not been provided.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the information sought was to ensure whether, the out of turn allotment of the houses is being made in a manner required or there was a Scam but the said information is being withheld from the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that direction needs to be given to the respondents to immediately give all the information required so as to find out whether, there is a transparency in house allotment or not and whether the same is being done with ulterior motive by adopting pick and choose method.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 4 submits that the petitioner has already availed a remedy by way of CRM-M-30142-2020 titled as ***Jagjeet Singh Vs. Union of India and others***, where the CBI enquiry is being sought into the house allotment and in case, any document is required by the Court during the aforementioned proceedings, the same will be produced. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case, any specific document is needed, the petitioner is free to make appropriate prayer in the said petition for the consideration of the Hon'ble Bench hearing the said criminal miscellaneous petition rather than having two remedies simultaneously.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the pleas with regard to the furnishing of the documents in the said petition.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 07, 2025

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No