



CWP-12518-2020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(206)

CWP-12518-2020

Date of Decision : 25.04.2025

Ramesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus, upon the respondents No.2 to 6, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members, and further, to take action against the private respondents No.7 to 12, for committing a cognizable offence.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that in view of the reply filed by the learned counsel for the State, the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition, with liberty to avail alternate legal remedy(ies), for redressal of his grievance.

3. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with liberty as aforesaid.

4. However, in case, in future, the petitioner has still any apprehension of threat to his life and liberty, he can approach the authorities concerned. In case, the petitioner moves such a representation to the authorities concerned, the latter, shall make all endeavour to decide the same, after evaluating the threat perception and would take appropriate decision.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 25, 2025

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No