

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21467-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 04.07.2025

Sushil

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.


Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS'), for grant of
bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.62 dated 04.03.2024,
under Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860
(for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station, Sadar Tohana, District
Fatehabad.

2. Allegations are that petitioner inflicted serious injuries on
the person of *de facto*-complainant-Satnam Singh with an intention to
kill him.

3. Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate
dated 03.07.2025 of the petitioner, which is taken on record. Registry to
tag the same at appropriate place.



4. Learned State counsel has also filed status report dated 27.06.2025 by way of an affidavit of Umed Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tohana, Fatehabad, which is taken on record. Copies supplied to the other sides. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

5. Contends that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto*-complainant at their own level. Further contends that petitioner is in custody since 28.12.2024. After investigation, final report under Section 173 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') was presented on 21.02.2025; charges were framed on 25.04.2025, but out of total 26 PWs, only one has been examined till date; thus, trial is likely to take sufficient long time.

6. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has shown ignorance about the compromise entered into between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto*-complainant. At the same time, he opposed the prayer on the premise that allegations against petitioner are serious in nature.

7. Learned counsel for the complainant has duly acknowledged the factum of compromise entered into between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto*-complainant at their own level.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

9. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 28.12.2024; after investigation, final report under Section 173 of Cr.P.C. was presented on 21.02.2025; charges have been framed on 25.04.2025, but



out of total 26 PWs, only one has been examined till date. But now, matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto*-complainant at their own level.

10. In view of the above; but without going into legality and validity of the compromise entered into between parties, this Court deems it appropriate that further incarceration of petitioner would not serve any purpose.

11. Consequently, petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

12. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

13. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

14. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

04.07.2025

Harish Kumar/SN

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No