



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237/61

CWP-12795-2019

Date of decision: 17.01.2025

JAI PARKASH

...PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to regularize him as per Policy of 1996.

2. This is second round of litigation. The petitioner claims that he worked with respondent from 22.04.1988 till the date of superannuation. He is claiming regularization as per 1996 Policy. The Policy was never withdrawn. He filed civil suit seeking regularization as per 1996 Policy. The suit was dismissed. He unsuccessfully preferred appeal and Regular Second Appeal before this Court. In view of dismissal of suit, he is not entitled to benefit of 1996 Policy.

3. Faced with this, Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate submits that petitioner may be considered as per Policy of 2011 because in 1996 he had already completed 10 years of service.

**CWP-12795-2019****-2-**

4. Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate submits that claim of petitioner would be considered as per Policy of 2011, however, he would not be entitled to arrears even if found eligible for regularization as per Policy of 2011.

5. In the wake of statement of Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent to consider claim of petitioner as per Policy of 2011. It is made clear that if he is found eligible for regularization as per Policy of 2011, he shall not be entitled to arrears.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

17.01.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No