

2025:PHHC:052365



115.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3979-2025

Date of decision: 24.04.2025

Nitya Yadav and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 7, who are averse to their live in relationship. Further, directions be issued to respondents No.3 and 4 not to interfere in the life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 27 years, and petitioner No.2, aged about 33 years, are in a live in relationship, however, the private respondents, who are family members of petitioner No.1, have not been able to accept their live in relationship, as a result of which, they have been continuously extending threats of dire consequences to them. Resultantly, a representation dated 20.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Jalandhar, for protection of their life and

liberty, but in vain. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Jalandhar to look into their representation dated 20.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Rana, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. Appearance has also been caused by Mr. Charanpal Singh Jattana, Advocate, on behalf of respondent No.5 and filed his *vakalatnama* in Court today, which is taken on record.

6. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Jalandhar to look into the representation dated 20.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 24, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No