

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

131

2025:PHHC:052426



CWP-11119-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.04.2025.

ANIL KUMAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

**DISTRICT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SOCIETY,
NUH AND ANOTHER**

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

The present petition had been filed for seeking quashing of the Noting dated 18.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) proposing to terminate the services of the petitioner till the clarification is received from the Chief Secretary, Human Resources Department, Haryana. A further prayer has been made to quash the order dated 13.06.2024 (Annexure P-6) whereby the period from 25.01.2018 to 18.12.2023 has not been considered as a duty period and also to release the salary for the period w.e.f. November 2024 till date with all arrears.

2 I am afraid that a writ petition against an office Noting in the absence of any final decision having been taken would not be maintainable. The instant writ petition is thus pre-mature and is dismissed accordingly.

2025:PHHC:052426



3 So far as the remaining contention of the petitioner as regards the non-payment of the salary and non-inclusion of the period as a duty period is concerned, liberty is granted to the petitioner to submit a representation to the competent authority which such authority would thereafter take a decision on the same in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of four months of submission of such a representation.

April 24, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No