



212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11943-2020(O&M)
Date of decision : 30.10.2025**

Pawanpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health Sciences and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH
NALWA**

Present: Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil, Advocate for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner from the due date and also to fix the salary of the petitioner in the regular pay-scale by granting him the benefit of judgment passed in CWP No.17003 of 2011 titled Rupinder Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 17.05.2017 (Annexure P-22).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on 26.12.2012 on contract basis and her service was extended from time to time. He further submits that taking into consideration the length of service of the petitioner, she is entitled for regularization of her service.



3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has drawn attention of this Court to the written statement filed by him, wherein it has already been averred that 49th meeting of Board of Members was held on 18.01.2019 and the issue of regularization of employees was discussed and it was informed that the Government of Punjab was in the process of formulating a new policy for regularization and on the basis of that it was resolved to wait for such policy. It was also mentioned therein that as and when the new policy for regularization is announced by the Government of Punjab, the case of the petitioner would be considered.

4. In the light of the stand taken by respondent No.1 in the written statement, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may be disposed of with liberty to file a representation before respondent No.1 for considering petitioner's case for regularization and that he will be satisfied, if a direction is given to respondent No.1 to decide such representation in a time-bound manner.

5. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before respondent No.1, within a period of one month from today, claiming regularization of her service. In case, such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and decided, in accordance with law, within a



period of 02 months from the date of submission of such representation by the petitioner.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

30.10.2025	(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)	
<i>d.gulati</i>	JUDGE	
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No