



114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10984-2025

Date of Decision: 02.07.2025

PARMOD KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of charge sheet dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-8), inquiry report dated 30.12.2022 (Annexure P-10) and order dated 03.01.2025 (Annexure P-12) whereby respondent has awarded punishment of forfeiture of four increments.

2. Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5 and filed his memo of appearance. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. Mr. Prince Singh, at the outset, submits that petitioner has preferred an appeal against impugned order dated 03.01.2025 (Annexure P-12) before the Board of Directors. The said appeal is a statutory appeal.



4. Faced with this, Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioner submits that Appellate Authority may be directed to decide his appeal in a time bound manner.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to Appellate Authority i.e. Board of Directors to decide petitioner’s appeal within two months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.07.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No