



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232 CWP-2771-2004 (O&M)
Date of Decision.:04.02.2025

Sham Lal Petitioner
Vs.
PUNSUP and Another Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Similar was the position on the last date of hearing i.e. 03.12.2024 and on the previous date i.e. 01.10.2024.

Fresh notice sent to the petitioner has been received back unserved with a report of incomplete address. No other address is available except the address as mentioned in memo of parties.

In these circumstances, the present petition is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

February 04, 2025
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No