



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-3954-2025

Date of decision: 29.04.2025

Parmjeet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Harjeet Singh Sandrania, Advocate and
Mr. Rajinder Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.5 to 7, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been in a live in relationship and the same has not gone well with the private respondents. The private respondents have been extending continuous threats of dire consequences to the petitioners in which regard a representation (Annexure P-3) dated 17.04.2025 was also given to the official respondents but in vain. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa to look into their representation dated 17.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate



CRWP-3954-2025

steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa to look into the representation dated 17.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

29.04.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No